

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,333	04/22/2005	Thomas Lich	10191/3768	9141	
	26646 7590 01/09/2008 KENYON & KENYON LLP			EXAMINER	
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			GOODEN JR, BARRY J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		01/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/532,333	LICH, THOMAS			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Barry J. Gooden Jr.	3616			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	PRIENT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY signs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 April 2005 (Preliminary Amendment)</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 10-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 10-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application	on Papers					
10) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment	c(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/532,333 Page 2

Art Unit: 3616

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to the amendment filed October 15, 2007. Currently claims 10-18 are pending. Claims 10 and 12 are amended.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 10-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(B) as being anticipate by Andre et al., DE 19822184.

In regards to claims 10-13 and 16, Andre et al. discloses all of the claimed elements including a device for detecting an obstacle underride, comprising:

at least one vertical distance measuring device (2) situated on a vehicle front in such a way as to detect an obstacle underride, wherein the vertical distance measuring device measures in a vertical direction (Reference is made to Figures 1 and 2) away from the ground;

wherein the vertical distance measuring device includes at least one transceiver;

wherein the at least one transceiver includes one of an ultrasonic sensor or a radar sensor;

wherein the vertical distance measuring device includes at least one video sensor; and,

wherein the device is connectable to a control unit for restraining means in such a way that the control unit triggers the restraining means as a function of a signal of the device (Reference is made to Figure 2 and the Abstract).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/532,333 Page 3

Art Unit: 3616

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 14, 15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andre et al.

In regards to claims 14 and 18, Andre et al. discloses all of the claimed elements excluding the vertical distance measuring device explicitly located on a bumper or the vertical distance measuring device being situated on the rear bumper.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have located the vertical distance measuring device on the front or rear bumper, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art.

In regards to claim 15, Andre et al. discloses all of the claimed elements excluding the at least one device comprising four vertical distance measuring devices.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided four vertical distance measuring devices as claimed, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andre et al. in view of Cho, US Patent 6,408,237.

In regards to claim 17, Andre et al. discloses all of the claimed elements excluding the device configured for the purpose of sensing pedestrians.

Cho discloses a system utilizing EM radiation (radar) or ultrasonic device wherein the device is configured for the purpose of sensing pedestrians.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Andre et al. in view of the teachings of Cho to include the device configured for the purpose of sensing pedestrians so as to prevent damage to pedestrians during collision thereby increasing safety.

Examiner notes with respect to claim 10, the recitation that the device situated on a vehicle front "in such a way to detect", does not serve to distinguish because it is a functional recitation. Furthermore it has been held that performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. Examiner suggests replacing with a positive structural recitation.

Examiner notes with respect to claim 17, the recitation wherein the device "is configured for the purpose of sensing pedestrians", does not serve to distinguish because it is a functional recitation.

Furthermore it has been held that performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. Examiner suggests replacing with a positive structural recitation.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed October 15, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Examiner maintains the previous rejection is proper. Further, in response to whether the sensor of Andre is capable of measuring the distance in a vertical direction away from the ground, the examiner maintains that the sensor is fully capable of such a measurement as is demonstrated in Figure 2 and as the sensor is described as a distance sensor with vertical resolution. Furthermore, since the sensor merely detects distance, were the vehicle to underride another vehicle the sensor would interpret the other vehicle as "ground" and determine the distance, thereby measuring a vertical distance in a direction away from the actual ground. As such, the sensor of Andre as disclosed inherently meets the claimed limitations of the applicant's sensor.

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date

Application/Control Number: 10/532,333

Art Unit: 3616

of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barry J. Gooden Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-5135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul N. Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (H) USA OF CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

Examiner

Art Unit 3616

BJG

ERIC CULBRETH PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5